	Case 1:21-cv-00637-DAD-BAK Docume	ent 24 Filed 07/21/22 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	JOY LEE LECOMPTE,	Case No. 1:21-cv-00637-DAD-BAK (BAM)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER ADOPTING STIPULATION TO SUBSTITUTE PARTY
13	v.	(Doc. 23)
14	COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY,	(D0C. 23)
15	Defendant.	
16		
17	Plaintiff Joy Lee LeCompte ("Plaintiff"), through counsel, initiated this action seeking	
18	review of a decision of the Commissioner of Social Security ("Commissioner") denying	
19	Plaintiff's application for disability insurance benefits and supplemental security income benefits.	
20	(Doc. 1.)	
21	On June 15, 2022, the parties stipulated to remand this case for further administrative	
22	proceedings pursuant to sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g). (Doc. 17.) The district court gave	
23	effect to the parties' stipulation on June 21, 2022, and remanded the case to the Commissioner for	
24	further proceedings consistent with the terms of the parties' stipulation. The district court also	
25	directed entry of final judgment in favor of Plaintiff, and against the Commissioner, reversing the	
26	final decision of the Commissioner and remanding the matter for a new decision. (Doc. 19.) The	
27	Clerk of the Court entered judgment and the	case was closed. (Docs. 19, 20.)
28	///	1

1	On July 15, 2022, Plaintiff's counsel filed a notice that Plaintiff died on February 2, 2022.	
2	Plaintiff's counsel also filed a motion seeking to substitute Plaintiff's daughter, Gillian Amos, as	
3	the plaintiff in this action pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 25(a). (Docs. 21, 21-1.) In	
4	the moving papers, counsel indicates that Ms. Amos has a claim ¹ under 20 C.F.R. § 404.503(b) to	
5	any owed payments that may be found to have been due to Plaintiff on remand. (Doc. 21-1 at ¶	
6	5.) Counsel brings the motion for substitution, despite the prior issuance of a judgment, so that	
7	the matter of payment under the Equal Access to Justice Act may be resolved in a subsequent	
8	motion. (Id. at \P 6.) Plaintiff's counsel also reported that the Commissioner has consented to the	
9	substitution of Ms. Amos. (Id. at ¶ 7.) However, because no stipulation or statement of non-	
10	opposition had been filed, the Court directed the Commissioner to file a response or statement of	
11	non-opposition to the motion for substitution. Alternatively, the Court indicated that the parties	
12	could file a stipulation to substitute Ms. Amos as plaintiff. (Doc. 22.)	
13	On July 20, 2022, the parties filed a stipulation to substitute Ms. Amos as plaintiff in the	
14	place of Joy Lee LeCompte. (Doc. 23.)	
15	Good cause appearing, the parties' stipulation is ADOPTED. Gilliam Amos is substituted	
16	as Plaintiff in the place of Joy Lee LeCompte pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure	
17	25(a)(1).	
18		
19	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
20	Dated: July 21, 2022 /s/ Barbara A. McAuliffe	
21	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		

 $^{^1}$ The parties' stipulation indicates that the claim is to be determined at the Agency level of review. (See Doc. 23 at ¶ 5.)